A15000 Payments to Civil Divisions of the State

Operating Budget Data

(\$ in Thousands)

	FY 14 <u>Actual</u>	FY 15 Working	FY 16 Allowance	FY 15-16 Change	% Change Prior Year
General Fund	\$155,467	\$163,456	\$157,479	-\$5,977	-3.7%
Deficiencies and Reductions	0	-7,989	-2,111	5,878	
Adjusted General Fund	\$155,467	\$155,467	\$155,367	-\$100	-0.1%
Adjusted Grand Total	\$155,467	\$155,467	\$155,367	-\$100	-0.1%

Note: The fiscal 2015 working appropriation reflects deficiencies and the Board of Public Works reductions to the extent that they can be identified by program. The fiscal 2016 allowance reflects back of the bill and contingent reductions to the extent that they can be identified by program.

- The fiscal 2016 allowance totals \$155.4 million in general funds after contingent reductions. This includes \$127.7 million for the Disparity Grant program and \$27.7 million for Teacher Retirement Supplemental Grants.
- The decrease of \$99,538 is due to an unallocated amount included in the fiscal 2015 working appropriation that will be reverted as well as falling tax yields per capita resulting in a lower grant amount for Washington County.

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Analysis in Brief

Issues

Time for a New Disparity Grant Formula: Various provisions added onto the disparity grant formula for the purpose of capping the grants have distorted the formula and created inequities amongst the eligible jurisdictions. The Department of Legislative Services (DLS) recommends striking the contingent language in the budget bill, as well as striking the new provisions relating directly to the disparity grant formula in the Budget Reconciliation and Financing Act of 2015. DLS also recommends that a workgroup be convened over the interim to further study and make recommendations concerning the Disparity Grant program and how the formulas and allocations shall be determined in the future.

Recommended Actions

1. Strike the contingent language for the Disparity Grants.

A15O00 Payments to Civil Divisions of the State

Operating Budget Analysis

Program Description

State grant programs which provide funds to Maryland's local jurisdictions are budgeted under Payments to Civil Divisions of the State. These include disparity grants to low-wealth jurisdictions and grants to mitigate the impact of the local assumption of a portion of teacher retirement costs.

Fiscal 2015 Actions

Cost Containment

On January 7, 2015, the Governor proposed, and the Board of Public Works (BPW) adopted, \$205.3 million in reductions to the fiscal 2015 appropriation. This reduction included \$7,989,089 in general funds for the disparity grants in order to bring payments back to the fiscal 2014 level. **Exhibit 1** displays both the prior and current allocations for each county for fiscal 2015. It should be noted that once the amount for each county is totaled together, there is a difference between the total and the current working appropriation of \$69,789. This is the amount that went to Kent County in fiscal 2014. However, since Kent County is no longer an eligible county under the disparity grant formula, this amount is currently unallocated and will be reverted at the end of the fiscal year.

Exhibit 1
Effect of Cost Containment Actions by Jurisdiction
Fiscal 2015

County	Legislative Appropriation	Working Appropriation	Difference
Allogony	¢7 200 505	\$7.200 5 05	0.2
Allegany	\$7,298,505	\$7,298,505	\$0
Baltimore City	79,051,790	79,051,790	0
Caroline	2,131,782	2,131,782	0
Cecil	530,320	299,498	-230,822
Dorchester	2,022,690	2,022,690	0
Garrett	2,131,271	2,131,271	0
Prince George's	27,503,452	21,694,767	-5,808,685
Somerset	4,908,167	4,908,167	0
Washington	1,978,223	1,545,973	-432,250
Wicomico	8,240,964	6,653,843	-1,587,121
Unallocated		69,789	69,789
Total	\$135,797,164	\$127,808,075	-\$7,989,089

Proposed Budget

The Governor's allowance for Payments to Civil Divisions of the State totals \$155.4 million after contingent reductions to the disparity grant program. This is a \$99,538 decrease from the current working appropriation, and, as seen in **Exhibit 2**, is attributable to the disparity grant formula. This \$99,538 difference is explained by the difference in the Kent County assumed reversion described above, as well as a \$29,749 decrease for Washington County since the amount for Washington County under current law in fiscal 2016 is less than the amount received in fiscal 2014. **Exhibit 3** provides a summary of funding by jurisdiction for disparity grants and the Teacher Retirement Supplemental Grants in fiscal 2016.

Exhibit 2 Proposed Budget Payments to Civil Divisions of the State (\$ in Thousands)

How Much It Grows:	General <u>Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>			
Fiscal 2014 Actual	\$155,467	\$155,467			
Fiscal 2015 Working Appropriation	155,467	155,467			
Fiscal 2016 Allowance	<u>155,367</u>	<u>155,367</u>			
Fiscal 2015-2016 Amt. Change	-\$100	-\$100			
Fiscal 2015-2016 Percent Change	-0.1%	-0.1%			
Where It Goes:					
Disparity Grants					
Unallocated adjustment					
Washington County adjustment			-30		
Total			-\$100		

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding. The fiscal 2015 working appropriation reflects deficiencies and the Board of Public Works reductions to the extent that they can be identified by program. The fiscal 2016 allowance reflects back of the bill and contingent reductions to the extent that they can be identified by program.

Exhibit 3 **State Funding for Payments to Civil Divisions Net of Contingent Reduction** Fiscal 2016 (\$ in Thousands)

County	Disparity Grant	Teacher Retirement Supplemental Grant	<u>Total</u>
Allegany	\$7,299	\$1,632	\$8,931
Anne Arundel			
Baltimore City	79,052	10,048	89,099
Baltimore		3,000	3,000
Calvert			
Caroline	2,132	685	2,817
Carroll			
Cecil	299		299
Charles			
Dorchester	2,023	309	2,332
Frederick			
Garrett	2,131	406	2,538
Harford			
Howard			
Kent			
Montgomery			
Prince George's	21,695	9,629	31,323
Queen Anne's			
St. Mary's			
Somerset	4,908	382	5,290
Talbot			
Washington	1,516		1,516
Wicomico	6,654	1,568	8,222
Worcester			
Total	\$127,709	\$27,659	\$155,367

Disparity Grants

State funding targeted to the disparity in local income tax capacity is found in Local Government Article, § 16-501. The Disparity Grant program provides noncategorical State aid to low-wealth jurisdictions for county government purposes. Disparity grants address the difference in the abilities of counties to raise revenues from the local income tax, which for most counties is one of their larger revenue sources.

Counties with per capita income tax revenues less than 75.0% of the statewide average receive grants unless a county has an income tax rate below 2.6%. Under current law, aid received by counties equals the lesser of the dollar amount necessary to raise the county's per capita income tax revenues to 75.0% of the statewide average or the amount received under the cap provisions. The original cap provision did not allow counties to receive an amount higher than what that particular county received from the State in fiscal 2010. However, Chapter 425 changed the disparity grant formula cap provisions in order to take into account a local jurisdiction's income tax rate.

Eligible counties, where the amount necessary to raise that county's per capita income tax rate to 75.0% of the statewide average is more than that county received from the State in fiscal 2010, are set to receive the greater of the dollar amount received from the State in fiscal 2010 or a proportion of the amount necessary to raise the county's per capita income tax revenues to 75.0% of the statewide average. The proportional amount is based on that particular county's income tax rate, as follows:

- from a tax rate of 2.8% to 2.99%, 20.0% of the uncapped grant amount;
- from a tax rate of 3.0% to 3.19%, 40.0% of the uncapped grant amount; and
- at a tax rate of 3.2% (the maximum), 60.0% of the uncapped grant amount.

The calculation under current law for fiscal 2016 is presented in **Exhibit 4**. Under current law, Cecil, Prince George's, Washington, and Wicomico counties are receiving decreases from the original fiscal 2015 amount before the BPW cut. This is because the disparity in the per capita tax yield among all counties shrank significantly, which subsequently shrank the uncapped amount that each jurisdiction would receive, as shown in **Exhibit 5**. Uncapped grant amounts saw declines for each jurisdiction, with the largest decline occurring for Prince George's County. However, the current cap provisions continue to have a significant effect upon reducing spending in the allowance. As further noted in Exhibit 5, the current cap provisions combined to reduce spending in the allowance, before cost containment, by \$45.3 million since every eligible county in fiscal 2016 has an uncapped grant amount higher than the cap provisions.

A comparison of the year-over-year changes in each of the individual components that affect the grant's formula can be found in the appendices. **Appendix 2** contains the population data, **Appendix 3** contains adjusted income tax wealth data, and **Appendix 4** provides income tax wealth per capita data.

Exhibit 4 Disparity Grant Calculation Prior to Cost Containment Reductions Fiscal 2016

<u>County</u>	Population July 2013	Adjusted Income Tax Revenues Tax Year 2013	Per Capita Tax <u>Yield</u>	Per Capita <u>Grant</u>	Uncapped <u>Grant</u>	Cap <u>Fiscal 2010</u>	Grant Amount <u>Fiscal 2016</u>	Difference to Fiscal 2015*	Piggyback Calendar <u>2014</u>
Allegany	73,521	\$21,731,667	\$295.58	\$165.07	\$12,135,763	\$7,298,505	\$7,298,505	\$0	3.05%
Anne Arundel	555,743	393,400,785	707.88	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.56%
Baltimore City	622,104	202,089,668	324.85	135.80	84,482,383	79,051,790	79,051,790	0	3.20%
Baltimore	823,015	532,615,813	647.15	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.83%
Calvert	90,484	57,792,624	638.71	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.80%
Caroline	32,693	10,868,820	332.45	128.20	4,191,202	2,131,782	2,131,782	0	2.73%
Carroll	167,564	107,626,469	642.30	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.04%
Cecil	101,913	45,411,386	445.59	15.06	1,534,811	0	306,962	-223,357	2.80%
Charles	152,864	81,352,695	532.19	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.03%
Dorchester	32,660	10,877,164	333.04	127.61	4,167,657	2,022,690	2,022,690	0	2.62%
Frederick	241,409	154,593,834	640.38	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.96%
Garrett	29,889	10,604,554	354.80	105.85	3,163,807	2,131,271	2,131,271	0	2.65%
Harford	249,215	148,774,313	596.97	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.06%
Howard	304,580	277,086,860	909.73	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.20%
Kent	19,944	10,479,252	525.43	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.85%
Montgomery	1,016,677	958,735,571	943.01	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.20%
Prince George's	890,081	371,535,104	417.42	43.23	38,480,484	21,694,767	23,088,290	-4,415,162	3.20%
Queen Anne's	48,517	30,634,800	631.42	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.20%
St. Mary's	109,633	62,212,777	567.46	0.00	0	0	0	0	3.00%
Somerset	26,273	4,984,134	189.71	270.94	7,118,517	4,908,167	4,908,167	0	3.15%

I.	
00/	
0%	
0%	
0%	
5%	

A15000 - Payments to Civil Divisions of the State

		Adjusted	Per	_			~ .	Difference	D
County	Population <u>July 2013</u>	Income Tax Revenues Tax Year 2013	Capita Tax <u>Yield</u>	Per Capita <u>Grant</u>	Uncapped <u>Grant</u>	Cap <u>Fiscal 2010</u>	Grant Amount <u>Fiscal 2016</u>	to Fiscal <u>2015*</u>	Piggyback Calendar <u>2014</u>
Talbot	37,931	27,891,030	735.31	0.00	0	0	0	0	2.40%
Washington	149,588	61,326,555	409.97	50.68	7,581,120	0	1,516,224	-461,999	2.80%
Wicomico	100,896	34,204,065	339.00	121.65	12,273,651	2,197,041	7,364,191	-876,773	3.20%
Worcester	51,620	24,645,620	477.44	0.00	0	0	0	0	1.25%
Total	5,928,814	\$3,641,475,558	\$614.20	\$0.00	\$175,129,394	\$121,436,013	\$129,819,872	-\$5,977,292	
		T (0.750()	4460 65						

Target (0.75%) \$460.65

^{*}Fiscal 2015 difference also prior to cost containment action.

Exhibit 5
Effect of the Cap Provisions
Fiscal 2015-2016

<u>County</u>	Uncapped Grant <u>2015</u>	Uncapped Grant <u>2016</u>	Uncapped Grant Difference 2015-2016	Foregone Amount <u>2016</u>
Allegany	\$13,156,738	\$12,135,763	-\$1,020,975	\$4,837,258
Baltimore City	89,494,646	84,482,383	-5,012,263	5,430,593
Caroline	4,593,110	4,191,202	-401,908	2,059,420
Cecil	2,651,599	1,534,811	-1,116,788	1,227,849
Dorchester	4,767,975	4,167,657	-600,318	2,144,967
Garrett	3,816,336	3,163,807	-652,529	1,032,536
Prince George's	45,839,087	38,480,484	-7,358,603	15,392,194
Somerset	7,400,238	7,118,517	-281,721	2,210,350
Washington	9,891,116	7,581,120	-2,309,996	6,064,896
Wicomico	13,734,939	12,273,651	-1,461,288	4,909,461
Total	\$195,345,784	\$175,129,394	-\$20,216,390	\$45,309,521

Source: Department of Legislative Services

Cost Containment

The fiscal 2016 allowance contains a contingent reduction that would lower the payments to each county to the lesser amount of what they received in fiscal 2014 or what they would receive under the current law formula. This language is contingent upon the enactment of the Budget Reconciliation and Financing Act (BRFA) of 2015, which contains language that would cap the Disparity Grant program at \$127,708,537. The difference between what each jurisdiction would receive under current law and under the contingent reduction is shown in **Exhibit 6**.

The BRFA of 2015 also contains a provision, Section 13, which would cap all mandated formula increases at 1% below the general fund growth from the prior year. If the current BRFA provision directly relating to the disparity grant formula is enacted, the grant would be capped into perpetuity, and thus Section 13 would have no effect. However, if the BRFA provision directly relating to the disparity grant formula is rejected, this could further impact how the formula is calculated. At this time, given the difficulties of projecting the disparities in per capita tax wealth among jurisdictions, it is difficult to estimate what effect Section 13 would have upon the disparity grant formula.

Exhibit 6
Effect of Cost Containment Actions by Jurisdiction
Fiscal 2016

County	Current Law	Proposed Cost Containment	Difference
Allegany	\$7,298,505	\$7,298,505	\$0
Baltimore City	79,051,790	79,051,790	0
Caroline	2,131,782	2,131,782	0
Cecil	306,962	299,498	-7,464
Dorchester	2,022,690	2,022,690	0
Garrett	2,131,271	2,131,271	0
Prince George's	23,088,290	21,694,767	-1,393,523
Somerset	4,908,167	4,908,167	0
Washington	1,516,224	1,516,224	0
Wicomico	7,364,191	6,653,843	-710,348
Total	\$129,819,872	\$127,708,537	-\$2,111,335

Issues

1. Time for a New Disparity Grant Formula

As previously mentioned, the BRFA of 2015 seeks to once again change the disparity grant formula but only by changing the capping provisions. Over the last five years, there have been three different capping provisions placed onto the disparity grant formula, from the cap to fiscal 2010 amounts, to the tax effort floors, to the currently proposed cap at the fiscal 2014 level. These caps have had the effect of perverting the proportion of the average statewide per capita tax yield to each jurisdiction under the disparity grant formula, as shown in **Exhibit 7**.

Whether the formula is changed to the provision in the BRFA or is kept at current law, there would still be drastic disparities in the proportion of the average statewide per capita tax yield which each county is returned to under the formula. Further, the BRFA also contains a new provision that would affect fiscal 2017 and beyond. While the BRFA caps the total dollar amount of the grant for future fiscal years, it also changes the allocation of funding. Essentially, the Governor's proposal would repeal the 2010 cap, as well as the floor provision that was later added to allow newly eligible jurisdictions to receive a portion of funding based on tax effort. The formula would start with the calculation of the uncapped grant amount in each fiscal year. However, each county would only receive a proportion of \$127.8 million based on the proportion of its grant under an uncapped formula. **Exhibit 8** demonstrates what this change would mean if it were in effect for fiscal 2016. Each county in the program would receive more funding than under current law, with the exception of Baltimore City.

This cap would also not solve the problem of each county being restored to a different proportion of the average statewide per capita tax yield. Further, it would remove the tax effort provisions that were put in place by Chapter 425, thus removing the incentive for counties to increase their tax effort in order to receive more money under the disparity grant formula. Even without this particular capping provision, Section 13 of the BRFA would have unknown consequences upon the disparity grant formula under current law that would further distort the inequities within the formula as currently constructed.

Given all of the inequities in both the current law and in the proposed BRFA, it would be prudent for the General Assembly to take an in-depth look at the Disparity Grant program over the 2015 interim and make some decisions regarding the overall level and distribution of funding. Until then, the grants should continue to be funded under the provisions provided for under current law. The Department of Legislative Services (DLS) recommends striking the contingent reduction in the budget bill, as well as striking the new provisions relating directly to the disparity grant formula in the BRFA. DLS also recommends that a workgroup be convened over the 2015 interim to further study and make recommendations concerning the Disparity Grant program and how the formulas and allocations shall be determined in the future.

Exhibit 7 Per Capita Tax Yield as Proportion of Statewide Average For Various Grant Scenarios Fiscal 2016

Current Law BRFA Proposed Proportional Allocation Proportion of Per Proportion of Per Proportion of Per Capita Capita Capita Statewide Statewide Statewide County **Total Grant Total Grant Total Grant Grant Average** Grant Average Grant Average \$7,298,505 \$99.27 \$7,298,505 Allegany 64.3% \$99.27 64.3% \$8,849,688 \$120.37 67.7% 79,051,790 61,606,571 **Baltimore City** 127.07 73.6% 79.051.790 127.07 73.6% 99.03 69.0% 69.3% Caroline 93.49 2,131,782 65.21 64.7% 2,131,782 65.21 64.7% 3,056,325 2.94 Cecil 306,962 3.01 73.0% 299,498 73.0% 10.98 74.3% 1,119,221 Dorchester 2,022,690 61.93 64.3% 2,022,690 61.93 64.3% 3,039,155 93.05 69.4% Garrett 2,131,271 71.31 69.4% 2,131,271 71.31 69.4% 2,307,123 77.19 70.3% Prince George's 23,088,290 25.94 72.2% 21,694,767 24.37 71.9% 28,060,888 31.53 73.1% 197.58 Somerset 4,908,167 186.81 61.3% 4,908,167 186.81 61.3% 5,190,993 63.1% 1,516,224 5,528,334 Washington 10.14 68.4% 1,516,224 10.14 68.4% 36.96 72.8% 6,653,843 Wicomico 7,364,191 72.99 67.1% 65.95 65.9% 8,950,240 88.71 69.6% Total \$129,819,872 \$127,708,537 \$127,708,537

BRFA: Budget Reconciliation and Financing Act

Source: Department of Legislative Services

Analysis of the FY 2016 Maryland Executive Budget, 2015

Exhibit 8
Effect of Proportional Allocation
Fiscal 2016

County	Uncapped <u>Grant</u>	Proportional <u>Grant</u>	Current <u>Law Grant</u>	Proportional vs. <u>Current law</u>	2016 Allowance	Proportional vs. Allowance
Allegany	\$12,135,763	\$8,849,688	\$7,298,505	\$1,551,183	\$7,298,505	\$1,551,183
Baltimore City	84,482,383	61,606,571	79,051,790	-17,445,219	79,051,790	-17,445,219
Caroline	4,191,202	3,056,325	2,131,782	924,543	2,131,782	924,543
Cecil	1,534,811	1,119,221	306,962	812,259	299,498	819,723
Dorchester	4,167,657	3,039,155	2,022,690	1,016,465	2,022,690	1,016,465
Garrett	3,163,807	2,307,123	2,131,271	175,852	2,131,271	175,852
Prince George's	38,480,484	28,060,888	23,088,290	4,972,598	21,694,767	6,366,121
Somerset	7,118,517	5,190,993	4,908,167	282,826	4,908,167	282,826
Washington	7,581,120	5,528,334	1,516,224	4,012,110	1,516,224	4,012,110
Wicomico	12,273,651	8,950,240	7,364,191	1,586,049	6,653,843	2,296,397
Total	\$175,129,394	\$127,708,537	\$129,819,872	-\$2,111,335	\$127,708,537	\$0

Recommended Actions

1. Strike the following language from the general fund appropriation:

, provided that this appropriation shall be reduced by \$2,111,335 contingent upon the enactment of the Budget Reconciliation Financing Act

Explanation: This action strikes the contingent language in the budget bill and restores the appropriation for the Disparity Grants to the currently mandated level.

Current and Prior Year Budgets

Current and Prior Year Budgets Payments to Civil Divisions of the State (\$ in Thousands)

	General <u>Fund</u>	Special <u>Fund</u>	Federal <u>Fund</u>	Reimb. <u>Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fiscal 2014					
Legislative Appropriation	\$155,467	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,467
Deficiency Appropriation	0	0	0	0	0
Budget Amendments	0	0	0	0	0
Reversions and Cancellations	0	0	0	0	0
Actual Expenditures	\$155,467	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,467
Fiscal 2015					
Legislative Appropriation	\$163,456	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$163,456
Cost Containment	-7,989	0	0	0	-7,989
Budget Amendments	0	0	0	0	0
Working Appropriation	\$155,467	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,467

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding. The fiscal 2015 working appropriation does not include January 2015 Board of Public Works reductions and deficiencies.

Fiscal 2015

On January 7, 2015, the Governor proposed, and BPW adopted, \$205.3 million in reductions to the fiscal 2015 appropriation. This reduction included \$7,989,089 in general funds for the disparity grants in order to bring payments back to the fiscal 2014 level.

Appendix 2

Disparity Grant Calculation Change in Population by Jurisdiction

County	Population July 2012	Population July 2013	Change 2012-2013	% Change <u>2012-2013</u>
<u>County</u>	July 2012	<u>July 2013</u>	<u>2012-2013</u>	<u>2012-2013</u>
Allegany	74,012	73,521	-491	-0.7%
Anne Arundel	550,488	555,743	5,255	1.0%
Baltimore City	621,342	622,104	762	0.1%
Baltimore	817,455	823,015	5,560	0.7%
Calvert	89,628	90,484	856	1.0%
Caroline	32,718	32,693	-25	-0.1%
Carroll	167,217	167,564	347	0.2%
Cecil	101,696	101,913	217	0.2%
Charles	150,592	152,864	2,272	1.5%
Dorchester	32,551	32,660	109	0.3%
Frederick	239,582	241,409	1,827	0.8%
Garrett	29,854	29,889	35	0.1%
Harford	248,622	249,215	593	0.2%
Howard	299,430	304,580	5,150	1.7%
Kent	20,191	19,944	-247	-1.2%
Montgomery	1,004,709	1,016,677	11,968	1.2%
Prince George's	881,138	890,081	8,943	1.0%
Queen Anne's	48,595	48,517	-78	-0.2%
St. Mary's	108,987	109,633	646	0.6%
Somerset	26,253	26,273	20	0.1%
Talbot	38,098	37,931	-167	-0.4%
Washington	149,180	149,588	408	0.3%
Wicomico	100,647	100,896	249	0.2%
Worcester	51,578	51,620	42	0.1%
Total	5,884,563	5,928,814	44,251	0.8%

Note: Bold indicates disparity grant recipients.

Source: Department of Budget and Management

Disparity Grant Calculation Change in Adjusted Income Tax Revenues* Tax Year 2012-2013

<u>County</u>	Adjusted Income Tax Revenues <u>2012</u>	Adjusted Income Tax Revenues 2013	\$ Change <u>2012-2013</u>	% Change <u>2012-2013</u>
Allegany	\$21,367,884	\$21,731,667	\$363,783	1.7%
Anne Arundel	394,879,322	393,400,785	-1,478,537	-0.4%
Baltimore City	200,344,808	202,089,668	1,744,859	0.9%
Baltimore	526,859,790	532,615,813	5,756,023	1.1%
Calvert	57,322,013	57,792,624	470,611	0.8%
Caroline	10,668,963	10,868,820	199,857	1.9%
Carroll	106,273,492	107,626,469	1,352,977	1.3%
Cecil	44,786,870	45,411,386	624,516	1.4%
Charles	80,047,873	81,352,695	1,304,822	1.6%
Dorchester	10,416,197	10,877,164	460,967	4.4%
Frederick	149,575,736	154,593,834	5,018,099	3.4%
Garrett	10,109,757	10,604,554	494,796	4.9%
Harford	148,398,111	148,774,313	376,202	0.3%
Howard	280,540,373	277,086,860	-3,453,513	-1.2%
Kent	10,916,650	10,479,252	-437,397	-4.0%
Montgomery	999,832,877	958,735,571	-41,097,307	-4.1%
Prince George's	365,188,264	371,535,104	6,346,839	1.7%
Queen Anne's	30,240,004	30,634,800	394,795	1.3%
St. Mary's	61,485,909	62,212,777	726,868	1.2%
Somerset	4,846,086	4,984,134	138,048	2.8%
Talbot	28,236,980	27,891,030	-345,950	-1.2%
Washington	59,697,371	61,326,555	1,629,184	2.7%
Wicomico	33,214,199	34,204,065	989,866	3.0%
Worcester	24,739,175	24,645,620	-93,555	-0.4%
Total	\$3,659,988,706	\$3,641,475,558	-\$18,513,147	-0.5%

^{*} Per the Disparity Grant formula, income tax revenues are adjusted for all jurisdictions using a standardized 2.54% tax rate.

Note: Bold indicates disparity grant recipients.

Source: Department of Budget and Management

Disparity Grant Calculation Change in Adjusted Income Tax Revenue Per Capita* Tax Year 2012-2013

County		Per Capita Tax Yield 2012	Per Capita Tax Yield <u>2013</u>	\$ Change 2012-2013	% Change 2012-2013
Allegany		\$288.71	\$295.58	\$6.88	2.4%
Anne Arundel		717.33	707.88	-9.44	-1.3%
Baltimore City		322.44	324.85	2.41	0.7%
Baltimore		644.51	647.15	2.64	0.4%
Calvert		639.55	638.71	-0.85	-0.1%
Caroline		326.09	332.45	6.36	2.0%
Carroll		635.54	642.30	6.76	1.1%
Cecil		440.40	445.59	5.19	1.2%
Charles		531.55	532.19	0.64	0.1%
Dorchester		320.00	333.04	13.05	4.1%
Frederick		624.32	640.38	16.06	2.6%
Garrett		338.64	354.80	16.16	4.8%
Harford		596.88	596.97	0.09	0.0%
Howard		936.91	909.73	-27.18	-2.9%
Kent		540.67	525.43	-15.24	-2.8%
Montgomery		995.15	943.01	-52.14	-5.2%
Prince George's		414.45	417.42	2.97	0.7%
Queen Anne's		622.29	631.42	9.14	1.5%
St. Mary's		564.16	567.46	3.31	0.6%
Somerset		184.59	189.71	5.11	2.8%
Talbot		741.17	735.31	-5.86	-0.8%
Washington		400.17	409.97	9.80	2.4%
Wicomico		330.01	339.00	9.00	2.7%
Worcester		479.65	477.44	-2.20	-0.5%
Total		\$621.96	\$614.20	-\$7.76	-1.2%
	75%	\$466.47	\$460.65	-\$5.82	-1.2%

^{*} Per the Disparity Grant formula, income tax revenues are adjusted for all jurisdictions using a standardized 2.54% tax

Note: Bold indicates disparity grant recipients.

Source: Department of Budget and Management

A15000 - Payments to Civil Divisions of the State

Fiscal Summary
Payments to Civil Divisions of the State

	FY 14	FY 15	FY 16		FY 15 - FY 16
Program/Unit	<u>Actual</u>	Wrk Approp	Allowance	Change	% Change
01.01	ф 1 25 000 0 5 5	ф 105 П О П 164	Ф 1 2 0 010 0 72	Φ.5.077.202	4.40/
01 Disparity Grants	\$ 127,808,075	\$ 135,797,164	\$ 129,819,872	-\$ 5,977,292	-4.4%
02 Teacher Retirement Supplemental Grants	27,658,662	27,658,662	27,658,662	0	0%
Total Expenditures	\$ 155,466,737	\$ 163,455,826	\$ 157,478,534	-\$ 5,977,292	-3.7%
General Fund	\$ 155,466,737	\$ 163,455,826	\$ 157,478,534	-\$ 5,977,292	-3.7%
Total Appropriations	\$ 155,466,737	\$ 163,455,826	\$ 157,478,534	-\$ 5,977,292	-3.7%

Note: The fiscal 2015 working appropriation does not include January 2015 Board of Public Works reductions and deficiencies. The fiscal 2016 allowance does not reflect contingent or across-the-board reductions